# <span id="page-0-0"></span>NAG Fortran Library Chapter Introduction

# F07 – Linear Equations (LAPACK)

# **Contents**



## 1 Scope of the Chapter

This chapter provides routines for the solution of systems of simultaneous linear equations, and associated computations. It provides routines for

- matrix factorizations;
- solution of linear equations;
- estimating matrix condition numbers;
- computing error bounds for the solution of linear equations;
- matrix inversion.

Routines are provided for both real and complex data.

For a general introduction to the solution of systems of linear equations, you should turn first to the F04 Chapter Introduction. The decision trees, at the end of the F04 Chapter Introduction, direct you to the most appropriate routines in Chapter F04 or Chapter [F07 for solving](#page-0-0) your particular problem. In particular, Chapter F04 contains Black Box routines which enable some standard types of problem to be solved by a call to a single routine. Where possible, routines in Chapter F04 call F07 routines to perform the necessary computational tasks.

The routines in th[is chapter \(Chapter F07\) handle onl](#page-0-0)y *dense* and *band* matrices (not matrices with more specialized structures, or general sparse matrices).

The routines in this chapter have all been derived from [the LAPACK project \(see Anderson](#page-14-0) *et al.* (1999)). They have been designed to be efficient on a wide range of high-performance computers, without compromising efficiency on conventional serial machines.

# 2 Background to the Problems

This section is only a brief introduction to the numerical solution of systems of linear equations. Consult a s[tandard textbook, for example Golub and van Loan \(1996\) for a more thorough discussi](#page-14-0)on.

## 2.1 Notation

We use the standard notation for a system of simultaneous linear equations:

$$
Ax = b \tag{1}
$$

where A is the *coefficient matrix*, b is the *right-hand side*, and x is the *solution*. A is assumed to be a square matrix of order *n*.

If there are several right-hand sides, we write

$$
AX = B \tag{2}
$$

where the columns of  $B$  are the individual right-hand sides, and the columns of  $X$  are the corresponding solutions.

We also use the following notation, both here and in the routine documents:



Inequalities of the form  $|A| \leq |B|$  are interpreted componentwise, that is  $|a_{ij}| \leq |b_{ij}|$  for all  $i, j$ .

#### <span id="page-2-0"></span>2.2 Matrix Factorizations

If A is upper or lower triangular,  $Ax = b$  can be solved by a straightforward process of backward or forward substitution.

Otherwise, the solution is obtained after first factorizing A, as follows.

#### General matrices  $(LU)$  factorization with partial pivoting)

$$
A = PLU
$$

where P is a permutation matrix, L is lower-triangular with diagonal elements equal to 1, and U is uppertriangular; the permutation matrix  $P$  (which represents row interchanges) is needed to ensure numerical stability.

#### Symmetric positive-definite matrices (Cholesky factorization)

$$
A = U^T U \quad \text{or} \quad A = L L^T
$$

where  $U$  is upper triangular and  $L$  is lower triangular.

#### Symmetric indefinite matrices (Bunch–Kaufman factorization)

$$
A = PUDUTPT \text{ or } A = PLDLTPT
$$

where P is a permutation matrix, U is upper triangular, L is lower triangular, and D is a block diagonal matrix with diagonal blocks of order 1 or 2; U and L have diagonal elements equal to 1, and have 2 by 2 unit matrices on the diagonal corresponding to the 2 by 2 blocks of  $D$ . The permutation matrix  $P$  (which represents symmetric row-and-column interchanges) and the 2 by 2 blocks in  $D$  are needed to ensure numerical stability. If A is in fact positive-definite, no interchanges are needed and the factorization reduces to  $A = UDU^T$  or  $A = LDL^T$  with diagonal D, which is simply a variant form of the Cholesky factorization.

#### 2.3 Solution of Systems of Equations

Given one of the above matrix factorizations, it is straightforward to compute a solution to  $Ax = b$  by solving two subproblems, as shown below, first for  $y$  and then for  $x$ . Each subproblem consists essentially of solving a triangular system of equations by forward or backward substitution; the permutation matrix P and the block diagonal matrix D introduce only a little extra complication:

General matrices (LU factorization)

$$
Ly = P^T b
$$

$$
Ux = y
$$

Symmetric positive-definite matrices (Cholesky factorization)

$$
UTy = b Ux = y
$$
 or 
$$
Ly = b UTx = y
$$

Symmetric indefinite matrices (Bunch–Kaufman factorization)

$$
PUDy = b
$$
  
\n
$$
UTPTx = y
$$
 or 
$$
LTPTx = y
$$

## 2.4 Sensitivity and Error Analysis

#### 2.4.1 Normwise error bounds

Frequently, in practical problems the data  $A$  and  $b$  are not known exactly, and it is then important to understand how uncertainties or perturbations in the data can affect the solution.

If x is the exact solution to  $Ax = b$ , and  $x + \delta x$  is the exact solution to a perturbed problem  $(A + \delta A)(x + \delta x) = (b + \delta b)$ , then

$$
\frac{\|\delta x\|}{\|x\|} \le \kappa(A) \bigg( \frac{\|\delta A\|}{\|A\|} + \frac{\|\delta b\|}{\|b\|} \bigg) + \cdots (2nd-order terms)
$$

<span id="page-3-0"></span>where  $\kappa(A)$  is the *condition number* of A defined by

$$
\kappa(A) = \|A\| \cdot \|A^{-1}\|.\tag{3}
$$

In other words, relative errors in A or b may be amplified in x by a factor  $\kappa(A)$ . Section 2.4.2 discusses how to compute or estimate  $\kappa(A)$ .

Similar considerations apply when we study the effects of rounding errors introduced by computation in finite precision. The effects of rounding errors can be shown to be equivalent to perturbations in the original data, such that  $\frac{\|\delta A\|}{\|A\|}$  and  $\frac{\|\delta b\|}{\|b\|}$  are usually at most  $p(n)\epsilon$ , where  $\epsilon$  is the *machine precision* and  $p(n)$  is an increasing function of n which is seldom larger than 10n (although in theory it can be as large as  $2^{n-1}$ ).

In other words, the computed solution  $\hat{x}$  is the exact solution of a linear system  $(A + \delta A)\hat{x} = b + \delta b$ which is close to the original system in a normwise sense.

#### 2.4.2 Estimating condition numbers

The previous section has emphasized the usefulness of the quantity  $\kappa(A)$  in understanding the sensitivity of the solution of  $Ax = b$ . To compute the value of  $\kappa(A)$  from equation (3) is more expensive than solving  $Ax = b$  in the first place. Hence it is standard practice to *estimate*  $\kappa(A)$ , in either the 1-norm or the  $\infty$  norm, by a method which only requires  $O(n^2)$  additional operations, assuming that a suitable factorization of A is available.

The method used in this chapter is Higham's modification of [Hager's method \(Higham \(1988\)\). It yields](#page-14-0) an estimate which is never larger than the true value, but which seldom falls short by more than a factor of 3 (although artificial examples can be constructed where it is much smaller). This is acceptable since it is the order of magnitude of  $\kappa(A)$  which is important rather than its precise value.

Because  $\kappa(A)$  is infinite if A is singular, the routines in this chapter actually return the *reciprocal* of  $\kappa(A)$ .

#### 2.4.3 Componentwise error bounds

A disadvantage of normwise error bounds is that they do not reflect any special structure in the data A and  $b$  – that is, a pattern of elements which are known to be zero – and the bounds are dominated by the largest elements in the data.

Componentwise error bounds overcome these limitations. Instead of the normwise relative error, we can bound the relative error in *each component* of A and b:

$$
\max_{ijk}\left(\frac{|\delta a_{ij}|}{|a_{ij}|},\frac{|\delta b_k|}{|b_k|}\right)\leq \omega
$$

where the *componentwise backward error bound*  $\omega$  is given by

$$
\omega = \max_{i} \frac{|r_i|}{(|A|.|x|+|b|)_i}.
$$

Routines are provided in this chapter which compute  $\omega$ , and also compute a *forward error bound* which is sometimes much sharper than the normwise bound given earlier:

$$
\frac{\|x-\hat{x}\|_{\infty}}{\|x\|_{\infty}} \le \frac{\||A^{-1}|.|r|\|_{\infty}}{\|x\|_{\infty}}.
$$

Care is taken when computing this bound to allow for rounding errors in computing  $r$ . The norm  $\| |A^{-1}| |r| \|_{\infty}$  is estimated cheaply (without computing  $A^{-1}$ ) by a modification of the method used to estimate  $\kappa(A)$ .

#### 2.4.4 Iterative refinement of the solution

If  $\hat{x}$  is an approximate computed solution to  $Ax = b$ , and r is the corresponding residual, then a procedure for *iterative refinement* of  $\hat{x}$  can be defined as follows, starting with  $x_0 = \hat{x}$ .

for  $i = 0, 1, \ldots$ , until convergence

<span id="page-4-0"></span>compute  $r_i = b - Ax_i$ solve  $Ad_i = r_i$ compute  $x_{i+1} = x_i + d_i$ 

In Chapter F04, routines are provided which perform this procedure using *additional precision* to compute  $r$ , and are thus able to reduce the *forward error* to the level of *machine precision*.

The routines in this chapter do *not* use *additional precision* to compute r, and cannot guarantee a small forward error, but can guarantee a small backward error (except in rare cases when A is very illconditioned, or when A and x are sparse in such a way that  $|A|$ .  $|x|$  has a zero or very small component). The iterations continue until the backward error has been reduced as much as possible; usually only one iteration is needed, and at most five iterations are allowed.

## 2.5 Matrix Inversion

It is seldom necessary to compute an explicit inverse of a matrix. In particular, do not attempt to solve  $Ax = b$  by first computing  $A^{-1}$  and then forming the matrix-vector product  $x = A^{-1}b$ ; the procedure [described in Section 2.3 is more effi](#page-2-0)cient and more accurate.

However, routines are provided for the rare occasions when an inverse is needed, using one of the factorizations d[escribed in Section 2.2.](#page-2-0)

## 2.6 Packed Storage

Routines which handle symmetric matrices are usually designed so that they use either the upper or lower triangle of the matrix; it is not necessary to store the whole matrix. If the upper or lower triangle is stored conventionally in the upper or lower triangle of a two-dimensional array, the remaining elements of the array can be used to store other useful data. However, that is not always convenient, and if it is important to economize on storage, the upper or lower triangle can be stored in a one-dimensional array of length  $n(n+1)/2$ ; in other words, the storage is almost halved.

This storage format is referred to as *packed storage*; it is [described in Section 3.3.2. It may also](#page-8-0) be used for triangular matrices.

Routines designed for packed storage perform the same number of arithmetic operations as routines which use conventional storage, but they are usually less efficient, especially on high-performance computers, so there is then a trade-off between storage and efficiency.

## 2.7 Band Matrices

A band matrix is one whose non-zero elements are confined to a relatively small number of sub-diagonals or super-diagonals on either side of the main diagonal. Algorithms can take advantage of bandedness to reduce the amount of work and storage required. The storage scheme used for band matrices is described [in Section 3.3.3.](#page-8-0)

The LU factorization for general matrices, and the Cholesky factorization for symmetric positive-definite matrices both preserve bandedness. Hence routines are provided which take advantage of the band structure when solving systems of linear equations.

The Cholesky factorization preserves bandedness in a very precise sense: the factor  $U$  or  $L$  has the same number of super-diagonals or sub-diagonals as the original matrix. In the LU factorization, the rowinterchanges modify the band structure: if A has  $k_l$  sub-diagonals and  $k_u$  super-diagonals, then L is not a band matrix but still has at most  $k_l$  non-zero elements below the diagonal in each column; and U has at most  $k_l + k_u$  super-diagonals.

The Bunch–Kaufman factorization does not preserve bandedness, because of the need for symmetric rowand-column permutations; hence no routines are provided for symmetric indefinite band matrices.

The inverse of a band matrix does not in general have a band structure, so no routines are provided for computing inverses of band matrices.

## 2.8 Block Algorithms

Many of the routines in this chapter use what is termed a *block algorithm*. This means that at each major step of the algorithm a *block* of rows or columns is updated, and most of the computation is performed by matrix-matrix operations on these blocks. The matrix-matrix operations are performed by calls to the Level 3 BLAS (see Chapter F06), which are the key to achieving high performance on many modern [computers. See Golub and van Loan \(1996\) or Anderson](#page-14-0) *et al.* (1999) for more about block algorithms.

The performance of a block algorithm varies to some extent with the **blocksize** – that is, the number of rows or columns per block. This is a machine-dependent parameter, which is set to a suitable value when the library is implemented on each range of machines. Users of the library do not normally need to be aware of what value is being used. Different block sizes may be used for different routines. Values in the range 16 to 64 are typical.

On more conventional machines there is often no advantage from using a block algorithm, and then the routines use an unblocked algorithm (effectively a blocksize of 1), relying solely on calls to the Level 2 BLAS (see Chapter F06 again).

The only situation in which a user needs some awareness of the block size is when it affects the amount of workspace to be supplied to a particular routine. This is [discussed in Section 3.4.3.](#page-10-0)

# 3 Recommendations on Choice and Use of Available Routines

Note: refer to the Users' Note for your implementation to check that a routine is available.

## 3.1 Available Routines

[Table 1 and](#page-11-0) [Table 2 in Se](#page-12-0)[ction 3.5 show the](#page-11-0) routines which are provided for performing different computations on different types of [matrices. Table 1 shows r](#page-11-0)outines for real m[atrices; Table 2 shows](#page-12-0) routines for complex matrices. Each entry in the table gives the NAG routine name, the LAPACK single precision name, and the LAPACK double precision [name \(see Section 3.2\).](#page-6-0)

Routines are provided for the following types of matrix:

general general band symmetric or Hermitian positive-definite symmetric or Hermitian positive-definite (packed storage) symmetric or Hermitian positive-definite band symmetric or Hermitian indefinite symmetric or Hermitian indefinite (packed storage) triangular triangular (packed storage)

triangular band

For each of the above types of matrix (except where indicated), routines are provided to perform the following computations:

- (a) (except for triangular matrices) factorize the [matrix \(see Section 2.2\);](#page-2-0)
- (b) solve a system of linear equations, using the factor[ization \(see Section 2.3\);](#page-2-0)
- (c) estimate the condition number of the matrix, using the fact[orization \(see Section 2.4.2\); these routin](#page-3-0)es also require the norm of the original matrix (except when the matrix is triangular) which may be computed by a routine in Chapter F06;
- (d) refine the solution and compute forward and backward error b[ounds \(see Section 2.4.3 and](#page-3-0) [Section 2.4.4\); these routines](#page-3-0) require the original matrix and right-hand side, as well as the factorization returned from (a) and the solution returned from (b);

<span id="page-6-0"></span>(e) (except for band matrices) invert the matrix, using the factor[ization \(see Section 2.5\).](#page-4-0)

Thus, to solve a particular problem, it is usually necessary to call two or more routines in succession. This is illustrated in the example programs in the routine documents.

## 3.2 NAG Names and LAPACK Names

As well as the NAG routine name (beginning [F07-\), Table 1 and T](#page-11-0)[able 2 show t](#page-12-0)he LAPACK routine names in both single and double precision.

The routines may be called either by their NAG names or by their LAPACK names. When using a single precision implementation of the NAG Library, the single precision form of the LAPACK name must be used (beginning with S- or C-); when using a double precision implementation of the NAG Library, the double precision form of the LAPACK name must be used (beginning with D- or Z-).

References to F07 routines in the Manual normally include the LAPACK single and double precision names, in that order – for example,  $F07ADE$  (SGETRF/DGETRF).

The LAPACK routine names follow a simple scheme (which is similar to that used for the BLAS in Chapter F06). Each name has the structure XYYZZZ, where the components have the following meanings:

- the initial letter  $X$  indicates the data type (real or complex) and precision:
	- S real, single precision (in Fortran 77, REAL)
	- D real, double precision (in Fortran 77, DOUBLE PRECISION)
	- $C$  complex, single precision (in Fortran 77, COMPLEX)
	- Z complex, double precision (in Fortran 77, COMPLEX\*16 or DOUBLE COMPLEX)
- the 2nd and 3rd letters  $YY$  indicate the type of the matrix A (and in some cases its storage scheme):
	- GE general
	- GB general band
	- PO symmetric or Hermitian positive-definite
	- PP symmetric or Hermitian positive-definite (packed storage)
	- PB symmetric or Hermitian positive-definite band
	- SY symmetric indefinite
	- SP symmetric indefinite (packed storage)
	- HE (complex) Hermitian indefinite
	- HP (complex) Hermitian indefinite (packed storage)
	- TR triangular
	- TP triangular (packed storage)
	- TB triangular band
- the last 3 letters **ZZZ** indicate the computation performed:
	- TRF triangular factorization
	- TRS solution of linear equations, using the factorization
	- CON estimate condition number
	- RFS refine solution and compute error bounds
	- TRI compute inverse, using the factorization

Thus the routine SGETRF performs a triangular factorization of a real general matrix in a single precision implementation of the Library; the corresponding routine in a double precision implementation is DGETRF.

Some sections of the routine documents – Section 2 (Specification) and Section 9.1 (Example program) – print the LAPACK name in *bold italics*, according to the NAG convention of using bold italics for precision-dependent terms – for example, sgetrf, which should be interpreted as either SGETRF (in single precision) or DGETRF (in double precision).

## 3.3 Matrix Storage Schemes

In this chapter the following different storage schemes are used for matrices:

- conventional storage in a two-dimensional array;
- packed storage for symmetric, Hermitian or triangular matrices;
- band storage for band matrices;

These storage schemes are compatible with those used in Chapter F06 (especially in the BLAS) and Chapter F08, but different schemes for packed or band storage are used in a few older routines in Chapter F02, Chapter F02, Chapter F03 and Chapter F04.

In the examples below,  $*$  indicates an array element which need not be set and is not referenced by the routines. The examples illustrate only the relevant leading rows and columns of the arrays; array arguments may of course have additional rows or columns, according to the usual rules for passing array arguments in Fortran 77.

## 3.3.1 Conventional storage

The default scheme for storing matrices is the obvious one: a matrix  $\vec{A}$  is stored in a two-dimensional array A, with matrix element  $a_{ij}$  stored in array element  $A(i, j)$ .

If a matrix is triangular (upper or lower, as specified by the argument UPLO), only the elements of the relevant triangle are stored; the remaining elements of the array need not be set. Such elements are indicated by  $*$  in the examples below. For example, when  $n = 4$ :



<span id="page-8-0"></span>Routines which handle symmetric or Hermitian matrices allow for either the upper or lower triangle of the matrix (as specified by UPLO) to be stored in the corresponding elements of the array; the remaining elements of the array need not be set. For example, when  $n = 4$ :



## 3.3.2 Packed storage

Symmetric, Hermitian or triangular matrices may be stored more compactly, if the relevant triangle (again as specified by UPLO) is packed by columns in a one-dimensio[nal array. In Chapter F07 and Chapter](#page-0-0) F08, arrays which hold matrices in packed storage have names ending in P. So

if UPLO = 'U',  $a_{ij}$  is stored in AP $(i+j(j-1)/2)$  for  $i \leq j$ ; if UPLO = 'L',  $a_{ij}$  is stored in  $AP(i + (2n - j)(j - 1)/2)$  for  $j \leq i$ .

For example:



Note that for real symmetric matrices, packing the upper triangle by columns is equivalent to packing the lower triangle by rows; packing the lower triangle by columns is equivalent to packing the upper triangle by rows. (For complex Hermitian matrices, the only difference is that the off-diagonal elements are conjugated.)

## 3.3.3 Band storage

A band matrix with  $k_l$  sub-diagonals and  $k_u$  super-diagonals may be stored compactly in a twodimensional array with  $k_l + k_u + 1$  rows and n columns. Columns of the matrix are stored in corresponding columns of the array, and diagonals of the matrix are stored in rows of the array. This storage scheme should be used in practice only if  $k_l$ ,  $k_u \ll n$ , although th[e routines in Chapter F07 and](#page-0-0) Chapter F08; work correctly for all values of  $k_l$  and  $k_u$ [. In Chapter F07 and Chapte](#page-0-0)r F08 arrays which hold matrices in band storage have names ending in B.

To be precise,  $a_{ij}$  is stored in  $AB(k_u + 1 + i - j, j)$  for  $max(1, j - k_u) \le i \le min(n, j + k_l)$ . For example, when  $n = 5$ ,  $k_l = 2$  and  $k_u = 1$ :

Band matrix bdi $A$		Band storage in array AB							
$a_{11}$ $a_{21}$ $a_{31}$	$a_{12}$ $a_{22}$ $a_{32}$ $a_{42}$	$a_{23}$ $a_{33}$ $a_{43}$ $a_{53}$	$a_{34}$ $a_{44}$ $a_{54}$	$a_{45}$ $a_{55}$	$\ast$ $a_{11}$ $a_{21}$ $a_{31}$	$a_{12}$ $a_{22}$ $a_{32}$ $a_{42}$	$a_{23}$ $a_{33}$ $a_{43}$ $a_{53}$	$a_{34}$ $a_{44}$ $a_{54}$ $\ast$	$a_{45}$ $a_{55}$ $\ast$ $\ast$

The elements marked  $*$  in the upper left and lower right corners of the array AB need not be set, and are not referenced by the routines.

Note: when a general band matrix is supplied for LU factorization, space must be allowed to store an additional  $k_l$  super-diagonals, generated by fill-in as a result of row interchanges. This means that the matrix is stored according to the above scheme, but with  $k_l + k_u$  super-diagonals.

Triangular band matrices are stored in the same format, with either  $k_l = 0$  if upper triangular, or  $k_u = 0$  if lower triangular.

For symmetric or Hermitian band matrices with  $k$  sub-diagonals or super-diagonals, only the upper or lower triangle (as specified by UPLO) need be stored:

if UPLO = 'U',  $a_{ij}$  is stored in  $AB(k+1+i-j, j)$  for max $(1, j-k) \le i \le j$ ;

if UPLO = 'L', 
$$
a_{ij}
$$
 is stored in AB(1 +  $i - j$ ,  $j$ ) for  $j \le i \le \min(n, j + k)$ .

For example, when  $n = 5$  and  $k = 2$ :



Note that different storage schemes for band matrices are used by some routines in Chapter F01, Chapter F03, Chapter F03 and Chapter F04.

## 3.3.4 Unit triangular matrices

Some routines in this chapter have an option to handle unit triangular matrices (that is, triangular matrices with diagonal elements  $= 1$ ). This option is specified by an argument DIAG. If DIAG  $=$  'U' (Unit triangular), the diagonal elements of the matrix need not be stored, and the corresponding array elements are not referenced by the routines. The storage scheme for the rest of the matrix (whether conventional, packed or band) remains unchanged.

## 3.3.5 Real diagonal elements of complex matrices

Complex Hermitian matrices have diagonal elements that are by definition purely real. In addition, complex triangular matrices which arise in Cholesky factorization are defined by the algorithm to have real diagonal elements.

<span id="page-10-0"></span>If such matrices are supplied as input to routines in this chapter, the imaginary parts of the diagonal elements are not referenced, but are assumed to be zero. If such matrices are returned as output by the routines, the computed imaginary parts are explicitly set to zero.

## 3.4 Parameter Conventions

#### 3.4.1 Option parameters

Most routines in this chapter have one or more option parameters, of type CHARACTER. The descriptions in Section 5 of the routine documents refer only to upper-case values (for example 'U' or 'L'); however, in every case, the corresponding lower-case characters may be supplied (with the same meaning). Any other value is illegal.

A longer character string can be passed as the actual parameter, making the calling program more readable, but only the first character is significant. (This is a feature of Fortran 77.) For example:

CALL SGETRS ('Transpose',...)

## 3.4.2 Problem dimensions

It is permissible for the problem dimensions (for example, M, N or NRHS) to be passed as zero, in which case the computation (or part of it) is skipped. Negative dimensions are regarded as an error.

## 3.4.3 Length of work arrays

A few routines implementing block algorithms require workspace sufficient to hold one block of rows or columns of the matrix if they are to achieve optimum levels of performance — for example, workspace of size  $n \times nb$ , where nb is the optimum block size. In such cases, the actual declared length of the work array must be passed as a separate parameter LWORK, which immediately follows WORK in the parameter-list.

The routine will still perform correctly when less workspace is provided: it uses the largest block size allowed by the amount of workspace supplied, as long as this is likely to give better performance than the unblocked algorithm. On exit,  $WORK(1)$  contains the minimum value of LWORK which would allow the routine to use the optimum block size; this value of LWORK can be used for subsequent runs.

If LWORK indicates that there is insufficient workspace to perform the unblocked algorithm, this is regarded as an illegal value of LWORK, and is treated like any other illegal parameter value (see Section 3.4.4).

If you are in doubt how much workspace to supply and are concerned to achieve optimum performance, supply a generous amount (assume a block size of  $64$ , say), and then examine the value of WORK $(1)$  on exit.

## 3.4.4 Error-handling and the diagnostic parameter INFO

Routines in this chapter do not use the usual NAG Library error-handling mechanism, involving the parameter IFAIL. Instead they have a diagnostic parameter INFO. (Thus they preserve complete compatibility with the LAPACK specification.)

Whereas IFAIL is an *Input/Output* parameter and must be set before calling a routine, INFO is purely an Output parameter and need not be set before entry.

INFO indicates the success or failure of the computation, as follows:

 $INFO = 0$ : successful termination

 $INFO > 0$ : failure in the course of computation, control returned to the calling program

If the routine document specfies that the routine may terminate with INFO  $> 0$ , then it is **essential** to test INFO on exit from the routine. (This corresponds to a *soft failure* in terms of the usual NAG errorhandling terminology.) No error message is output.

All routines check that input parameters such as N or LDA or option parameters of type CHARACTER have permitted values. If an illegal value of the *i*th parameter is detected, INFO is set to  $-i$ , a message is <span id="page-11-0"></span>output, and execution of the program is terminated. (This corresponds to a hard failure in the usual NAG terminology.)

## 3.5 Tables of Available Routines



# Table 1

Each entry gives:

the NAG routine name

the LAPACK routine name in a single precision implementation

the LAPACK routine name in a double precision implementation

<span id="page-12-0"></span>

Routines for complex matrices										
Type of matrix and storage scheme	factorize	solve	condition number	error estimate	invert					
general	F07ARF	F07ASF	F07AUF	F07AVF	F07AWF					
	<b>CGETRF</b>	CGETRS	CGECON	<b>CGERFS</b>	CGETRI					
	ZGETRF	ZGETRS	ZGECON	ZGERFS	ZGETRI					
general band	F07BRF CGBTRF ZGBTRF	F07BSF <b>CGBTRS</b> ZGBTRS	F07BUF CGBCON ZGBCON	F07BVF <b>CGBRFS</b> ZGBRFS						
Hermitian positive-definite	F07FRF	F07FSF	F07FUF	F07FVF	F07FWF					
	<b>CPOTRF</b>	CPOTRS	CPOCON	<b>CPORFS</b>	CPOTRI					
	<b>ZPOTRF</b>	<b>ZPOTRS</b>	<b>ZPOCON</b>	<b>ZPORFS</b>	ZPOTRI					
Hermitian positive-definite (packed storage)	F07GRF	F07GSF	F07GUF	F07GVF	F07GWF					
	<b>CPPTRF</b>	<b>CPPTRS</b>	CPPCON	<b>CPPRFS</b>	CPPTRI					
	ZPPTRF	ZPPTRS	ZPPCON	ZPPRFS	ZPPTRI					
Hermitian positive-definite band	F07HRF <b>CPBTRF</b> ZPBTRF	F07HSF <b>CPBTRS</b> ZPBTRS	F07HUF CPBCON ZPBCON	F07HVF <b>CPBRFS</b> <b>ZPBRFS</b>						
Hermitian indefinite	F07MRF	F07MSF	F07MUF	F07MVF	F07MWF					
	<b>CHETRF</b>	<b>CHETRS</b>	CHECON	<b>CHERFS</b>	<b>CHETRI</b>					
	<b>ZHETRF</b>	<b>ZHETRS</b>	ZHECON	ZHERFS	ZHETRI					
symmetric indefinite	F07NRF	F07NSF	F07NUF	F07NVF	F07NWF					
	<b>CSYTRF</b>	<b>CSYTRS</b>	CSYCON	<b>CSYRFS</b>	CSYTRI					
	ZSYTRF	ZSYTRS	ZSYCON	ZSYRFS	ZSYTRI					
Hermitian indefinite (packed storage)	F07PRF	F07PSF	F07PUF	F07PVF	F07PWF					
	<b>CHPTRF</b>	<b>CHPTRS</b>	CHPCON	<b>CHPRFS</b>	<b>CHPTRI</b>					
	ZHPTRF	ZHPTRS	ZHPCON	<b>ZHPRFS</b>	ZHPTRI					
symmetric indefinite (packed storage)	F07QRF	F07QSF	F07QUF	F07QVF	F07QWF					
	<b>CSPTRF</b>	<b>CSPTRS</b>	CSPCON	<b>CSPRFS</b>	CSPTRI					
	ZSPTRF	ZSPTRS	ZSPCON	ZSPRFS	ZSPTRI					
triangular		F07TSF <b>CTRTRS</b> ZTRTRS	F07TUF <b>CTRCON</b> ZTRCON	F07TVF <b>CTRRFS</b> <b>ZTRRFS</b>	F07TWF <b>CTRTRI</b> ZTRTRI					
triangular (packed storage)		F07USF <b>CTPTRS</b> ZTPTRS	F07UUF <b>CTPCON</b> ZTPCON	F07UVF <b>CTPRFS</b> ZTPRFS	F07UWF <b>CTPTRI</b> ZTPTRI					
triangular band		F07VSF <b>CTBTRS</b> ZTBTRS	F07VUF <b>CTBCON</b> ZTBCON	F07VVF <b>CTBRFS</b> <b>ZTBRFS</b>						

Table 2

Each entry gives:

the NAG routine name the LAPACK routine name in a single precision implementation the LAPACK routine name in a double precision implementation

# 4 Indexes of LAPACK Routines





## Table 3

# <span id="page-14-0"></span>5 Routines Withdrawn or Scheduled for Withdrawal

None.

## 6 References

Anderson E, Bai Z, Bischof C, Blackford S, Demmel J, Dongarra J J, Du Croz J J, Greenbaum A, Hammarling S, McKenney A and Sorensen D (1999) LAPACK Users' Guide (3rd Edition) SIAM, Philadelphia

Golub G H and van Loan C F (1996) Matrix Computations (3rd Edition) Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore

Higham N J (1988) Algorithm 674: Fortran codes for estimating the one-norm of a real or complex matrix, with applications to condition estimation ACM Trans. Math. Software 14 381-396